Normative results

Conclusion

Appendix

References

Strike while the Iron is Hot:

Optimal Monetary Policy with a Nonlinear Phillips Curve

Peter Karadi^{1,4} Anton Nakov^{1,4} Galo Nuño^{2,4} Ernesto Pasten³ Dominik Thaler¹

 $^{1}\text{ECB} \cdot ^{2}\text{Bank}$ of Spain $\cdot \ ^{3}\text{Central Bank}$ of Chile $\cdot \ ^{4}\text{CEPR}$

October 24, 2024

The views herein are those of the authors only, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Central Bank, the Bank of Spain or the Central Bank of Chile.

Motivation

- ► The recent inflation surge featured
 - Increase in the frequency of price changes (Montag and Villar, 2023) US
 - ▶ Increase in Phillips curve slope (Benigno and Eggertsson, 2023; Cerrato and Gitti, 2023) US

Motivation

- ► The recent inflation surge featured
 - Increase in the frequency of price changes (Montag and Villar, 2023) US
 - ▶ Increase in Phillips curve slope (Benigno and Eggertsson, 2023; Cerrato and Gitti, 2023) US
- Optimal monetary policy is mainly studied in models, in which the Phillips curve is linear and the frequency is held constant (Galí, 2008; Woodford, 2003)

Conclusion

References

Motivation

- ► The recent inflation surge featured
 - Increase in the frequency of price changes (Montag and Villar, 2023) US
 - ▶ Increase in Phillips curve slope (Benigno and Eggertsson, 2023; Cerrato and Gitti, 2023) US
- Optimal monetary policy is mainly studied in models, in which the Phillips curve is linear and the frequency is held constant (Galí, 2008; Woodford, 2003)
- What does optimal monetary policy look like with a nonlinear Phillips curve and endogenous variation in frequency? How should CBs respond to a large inflation surge?



▶ We use the standard state-dependent pricing model of Golosov and Lucas (2007)

What do we do?

- ▶ We use the standard state-dependent pricing model of Golosov and Lucas (2007)
- Positive analysis under a Taylor rule
 - Assess non-linearity of the Phillips curve

What do we do?

- ▶ We use the standard state-dependent pricing model of Golosov and Lucas (2007)
- Positive analysis under a Taylor rule
 - Assess non-linearity of the Phillips curve
- Normative analysis: Ramsey optimal policy
 - Optimal long-run inflation
 - Characterize optimal responses to shocks
 - Characterize the non-linear targeting rule after large cost-push shocks

Conclusion

Appendix

References

How do we do it?

Model

Calibrate the model to match frequency and size of price changes

Conclusion

References

How do we do it?

Model

Calibrate the model to match frequency and size of price changes

Solve the non-linear model over the sequence space under perfect foresight

Conclusion

References

How do we do it?

Model

Calibrate the model to match frequency and size of price changes

- Solve the non-linear model over the sequence space under perfect foresight
- Obtain the Ramsey commitment solution by maximizing the households welfare using a new numerical algorithm

References

What we find

Positive analysis:

- ▶ The Phillips curve is non-linear: it gets steeper as frequency increases.
- Normative analysis:
 - ▶ When cost-push shocks are small, business as usual.
 - ▶ When cost-push shocks are large, more *hawkish* policy: "strike while the iron is hot."
 - Divine coincidence holds for efficient shocks, either small or large.
 - Optimal long-run inflation is slightly positive.
 - ▶ The time-inconsistency problem is there, but weakened relative to standard framework.

Literature

Model

- Nonlinear Phillips curve (Benigno and Eggertsson, 2023; Cerrato and Gitti, 2023)
 - Microfounded by state-dependent price setting

(Golosov and Lucas, 2007; Gertler and Leahy, 2008; Auclert et al., 2022)

 In the presence of large aggregate shocks (Karadi and Reiff, 2019; Alvarez and Neumeyer, 2020; Costain et al., 2022; Alexandrov, 2020; Blanco et al., 2024)

Literature

Model

- Nonlinear Phillips curve (Benigno and Eggertsson, 2023; Cerrato and Gitti, 2023)
 - Microfounded by state-dependent price setting

(Golosov and Lucas, 2007; Gertler and Leahy, 2008; Auclert et al., 2022)

- In the presence of large aggregate shocks (Karadi and Reiff, 2019; Alvarez and Neumeyer, 2020; Costain et al., 2022; Alexandrov, 2020; Blanco et al., 2024)
- Optimal policy in a menu cost economy
 - Optimal inflation target (Burstein and Hellwig, 2008; Adam and Weber, 2019; Blanco, 2021)
 - Small shocks, large shocks, optimal nonlinear target rule (comp. Galí, 2008; Woodford, 2003)
 - Focus on aggregate shocks (unlike Caratelli and Halperin, 2023, who study sectoral shocks)

References

Overview of (our version of) the Golosov-Lucas model

- = Textbook, Discrete-time New-Keynesian model with Calvo pricing (e.g. Galí, 2008)
 - Calvo fairy [Calvoplus also includes this component]
 - + fixed costs of price adjustments η
 - + stochastic, idiosyncratic product quality $A_t(i)$
- = Heterogeneous-firm NK DSGE model.

Sketch of the model

- ▶ Households consume a Dixit and Stiglitz (1977) basket of goods, work and save.
- Per-period utility of consumption is log and disutility of labor is linear.
- Idiosyncratic quality A_t(i) implies that

$$C_t = \left\{ \int \left[A_t(i) C_t(i) \right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} - 1} di \right\}^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} - 1}$$

.

• Monopolistic producers with $Y_t(i) = A_t \frac{N_t(i)}{A_t(i)}$, A_t is aggregate productivity.

Firms face a fixed cost in labor units η to update prices and an employment subsidy τ_t .



- Define $p_t(i) \equiv \log (P_t(i)/(A_t(i)P_t))$ be the quality-adjusted log real price.
- Define $\lambda_t(p)$ be the price-adjustment probability. Value function is

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t}(p) &= \Pi(p, w_{t}, A_{t}, A_{t}(i), \tau_{t}) \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[(1 - \lambda_{t+1} \left(p - \sigma_{t+1} \varepsilon_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1} \right) \right) \Lambda_{t,t+1} V_{t+1} \left(p - \sigma_{t+1} \varepsilon_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1} \right) \right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\lambda_{t+1} \left(p - \sigma_{t+1} \varepsilon_{t+1} - \pi_{t+1} \right) \Lambda_{t,t+1} \left(\max_{p'} V_{t+1} \left(p' \right) - \eta w_{t+1} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

▶ The price adjustment probability is characterized by a (s,S) rule:

$$\lambda_t(p) = I[\max_{p'} V_t(p') - \eta w_t > V_t(p)].$$

Conclusion

Appendix

References

Monetary Policy and shocks processes

Model

For positive analysis only, monetary policy follows a Taylor rule:

$$\log(R_t) = \rho_r \log(R_{t-1}) + (1 - \rho_r) \left[\phi_{\pi}(\pi_t - \pi^*) + \phi_y(y_t - y_t^e)\right] + \varepsilon_{r,t} \quad \varepsilon_{r,t} \sim N(0, \sigma_r^2)$$

Conclusion

Appendix

References

Aggregation and market clearing

Aggregate price index

Model

$$1=\int e^{p(1-\epsilon)}g_t(p)dp,$$

Labor market equilibrium

$$N_{t} = \frac{C_{t}}{A_{t}} \underbrace{\int e^{p(-\epsilon)} g_{t}(p) dp}_{\text{dispersion}} + \eta \underbrace{\int \lambda_{t}(p - \sigma_{t}\varepsilon - \pi_{t}) g_{t-1}(p) dp}_{\text{frequency}},$$

where $g_t(p)$ is endogenous object.

References

The model in one slide

$$\max_{\left\{g_{t}^{c}(\cdot),g_{t}^{0}V_{t}(\cdot),G_{t},w_{t},g_{t}^{*},s_{t},s_{t},\pi_{t}^{*}\right\}} \mathbb{E}_{0} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left(\frac{C_{t}^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} - \upsilon \frac{C_{t}}{A_{t}} \left(\int e^{(x+p_{t}^{*})(-\epsilon_{t})}g_{t}^{c}\left(p\right) dx + g_{t}^{0}e^{(p_{t}^{*})(-\epsilon)}\right) - \upsilon \eta g_{t}^{0}\right)$$

subject to

$$\begin{array}{lll} 1 & = & \int e^{(x+p_t^*)(1-\epsilon)}g_t^e(x)\,dx + g_t^0e^{(p_t^*)(1-\epsilon)}, \\ 0 & = & \Pi_t'(x) + \frac{1}{\sigma}\Lambda_{t,t+1}\int_{s_{t+1}}^{s_{t+1}}V_{t+1}(x')\frac{\partial \phi\left(\frac{s-x'-\pi_t^*}{\sigma}\right)}{\partial x}dx' \\ & + & \Lambda_{t,t+1}\left(\phi\left(\frac{S_{t+1}-\pi_t}{\sigma}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{s_{t+1}-\pi_t^*}{\sigma}\right)\right)\left(V_{t+1}(0) - \eta w_{t+1}\right), \\ V_t\left(s_t\right) & = & V_t\left(0\right) - \eta w_t, \\ w_t & = & vC_t^{\gamma}, \\ V_t(x) & = & \Pi(x,p_t^*,w_t,A_t) + \Lambda_{t,t+1}\frac{1}{\sigma}\int_{s_t}^{S_t}\left[V_{t+1}(x')\phi\left(\frac{(x-x')-\pi_{t+1}^*}{\sigma}\right)\right]dx' \\ & + & \Lambda_{t,t+1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma}\int_{s_t}^{S_t}\left[\phi\left(\frac{(x-x')-\pi_{t+1}^*}{\sigma}\right)\right]dx'\right)\left[\left(V_{t+1}\left(0\right) - \eta w_{t+1}\right)\right], \\ g_t^e(x) & = & \frac{1}{\sigma}\int_{s_{t-1}}^{S_{t-1}}g_{t-1}^e(x_{t-1})\phi\left(\frac{x_{t-1}-x-\pi_t^*}{\sigma}\right)dx_{t-1} + g_{t-1}^0\phi\left(\frac{-x-\pi_t^*}{\sigma}\right), \\ g_t^0 & = & 1 - \int_{s_t}^{S_t}g_t^e(x)dx. \end{array}$$

Conclusion

References

Model: Intuitive summary

Model

Each period, firm *i* chooses whether to reset

its price and, if so, what new price to set

 The firm's optimality conditions define the reset price and the inaction region (S,s)



Conclusion

References

Model: Intuitive summary

Model

Each period, firm *i* chooses whether to reset

its price and, if so, what new price to set

- The firm's optimality conditions define the reset price and the inaction region (S,s)
- Given the idiosyncr. shock, they endogenously determine the price distribution



Conclusion

References

Model: Intuitive summary

Model

Each period, firm i chooses whether to reset

its price and, if so, what new price to set

- The firm's optimality conditions define the reset price and the inaction region (S,s)
- Given the idiosyncr. shock, they endogenously determine the price distribution
- Let p_t(i) = log (P_t(i)/(A_t(i)P_t)) be the quality-adjusted log relative price



Conclusion

References

Model: Intuitive summary

Model

Each period, firm i chooses whether to reset

its price and, if so, what new price to set

- The firm's optimality conditions define the reset price and the inaction region (S,s)
- Given the idiosyncr. shock, they endogenously determine the price distribution
- Let p_t(i) = log (P_t(i)/(A_t(i)P_t)) be the quality-adjusted log relative price
- Let x_t(i) ≡ p_t(i) − p^{*}_t(i) be the difference of that price from the optimal price



Conclusion

References

Model under large shock

Model

Large aggregate shock: shifts the distribution

of price gaps for all firms

► Limited impact on the (s,S) bands



Conclusion

References

Model under large shock

Model

Large aggregate shock: shifts the distribution

of price gaps for all firms

- ► Limited impact on the (s,S) bands
- Pushes a large fraction of firms outside of the inaction region
- Large increase in the frequency of price changes and hence additional flexibility of the aggregate price level (on top of "selection")



Calibration

Households			
β	$0.96^{1/12}$	Discount rate	Golosov and Lucas (2007)
ϵ	7	Elasticity of substitution	Golosov and Lucas (2007)
γ	1	Risk aversion parameter	Midrigan (2011)
v	1	Utility weight on labor	Set so that $w = C$
Price setting targets			
Frequency	8.7%	Frequency of price changes	Nakamura and Steinsson (2008)
Size	8.5%	Absolute size of price changes	Nakamura and Steinsson (2008)
Monetary policy			
ϕ_{π}	1.5	Inflation coefficient in Taylor rule	Taylor (1993)
ϕ_y	0.125	Output coefficient in Taylor rule	Taylor (1993)
Shocks			
ΡΑ	0.95 ^{1/3}	Persistence of the TFP shock	Smets and Wouters (2007)
$ ho_{ au}$	$0.25^{1/3}$	Persistence of the cost-push shock	

Appendix

References

Main positive result: Non-linear Phillips curve

Small shocks: like *adjusted* Calvo; large shocks: non-linear, even bending backwards.



Nori

Normative results

Conclusion

Appendix

References

Corollary: State-dependent monetary policy

- P.C. slope determines the sacrifice ratio: the relative impact on inflation versus output gap of a marginal monetary policy tightening.
- ► Key: state-dependent monetary policy effects.



References

Normative analysis: Computation

Model

Challenges

- ▶ Price distribution $g_t(p_t)$ and value function $V_t(p_t)$ are infinite-dimensional objects
- We need sufficient accuracy for optimal policy assessment
- ▶ New algorithm, in discrete time
 - ► Approximate distribution and value functions by piece-wise linear functions on grid.
 - **Endogenous grid points**: (S,s) bands and the optimal reset price.
 - Evaluate integrals analytically.
 - Solve non-linearly in the sequence space using Dynare's perfect foresight Ramsey solver.

Normative result 1: Optimal response to cost-push shocks is non-linear

▶ In the textbook, LQ framework, optimal policy is a price-level targeting rule

$$\hat{p}_t = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{y}_t^e$$

▶ For small cost-push shocks, optimal policy in the menu cost model is about the same.

► For large cost-push shock, strike while the iron is hot!

Conclusion

Appendix

References

Nonlinear targeting rule

Model

► Globally, the target rule is nonlinear Robust



Conclusion

References

Nonlinear targeting rule

- ► Globally, the target rule is nonlinear Robust
- After large shocks, the planner stabilizes inflation more relative to the output gap



Conclusion

References

Nonlinear targeting rule

- ► Globally, the target rule is nonlinear Robust
- After large shocks, the planner stabilizes inflation more relative to the output gap
- Why? Stabilizing inflation is cheaper due to the lower sacrifice ratio (higher freq.)
 - Similar results with quadratic objective
 - The nonlinearity of the targeting rule is due to the nonlinear Phillips curve



Conclusion

Appendix

References

Nonlinear targeting rule for the real interest rate





Calvo plus: very different Phillips curve slope, almost the same optimal monetary policy.



References

Normative result 2: "Divine coincidence" holds

- ▶ In the standard NK model with Calvo pricing: divine coincidence holds after shocks affecting the efficient allocation: TFP (A_t) [also true for a discount rate shock].
- Optimal policy stabilizes inflation and closes the output gap.
- Same result holds in menu-cost models, regardless shocks are small or large.

Normative result 3: Optimal long-run inflation rate

- The steady-state Ramsey inflation rate is slightly above zero: $\pi^* = 0.3\%$
- ► Why not zero?

- Asymmetric profit function: negative price gaps more harmful => Asymmetric (S,s) bands.
- At zero inflation, more mass around the lower than higher threshold.
- Slightly positive inflation raises p^* and pushes the mass of firms upwards.
- \blacktriangleright => Lower frequency => less waste of resources paying for the menu cost.

Normative result 4: Time inconsistency is weakened by endogenous frequency

- Optimal policy without precommitment (time-0)
- Inefficient steady state

Model

▶ Weaker time inconsistency in GL than in Calvo: costlier to increase output gap



Conclusion

We study optimal policy in a menu cost model delivering a non-linear Phillips curve.

- ▶ Optimal response to small cost shocks similar to Calvo (1983).
- Lean against frequency for large cost-push shocks: strike while the iron is hot!
- Divine coincidence holds for efficient shocks, either small or large.
- Optimal long-run inflation is near zero.
- ► Time-inconsistency is there although weakened.

CPI and frequency of price changes in the US, Montag and Villar (2023)





References

Phillips correlation across US cities, Cerrato and Gitti (2023)







Modified Phillips correlation time, Benigno and Eggertsson (2023)



Figure 4: Inflation: CPI inflation rate at annual rates. θ : vacancy-to-unemployed ratio.

References

Conclusion

References

Slope of the target rule for small shocks





References

State-dependent inflation-output tradeoff

Inflation-output tradeoff varies with

frequency



Normative results

Conclusion

References

State-dependent inflation-output tradeoff

- Inflation-output tradeoff varies with frequency
- After large shocks, the planner stabilizes inflation relative to the output gap on the

margin more Analogy with Calvo, 1983





Normative results

Conclusion

References

State-dependent inflation-output tradeoff

- Inflation-output tradeoff varies with frequency
- After large shocks, the planner stabilizes inflation relative to the output gap on the margin more (nelecular) [192]

margin more Analogy with Calvo, 1983

 Reduction in sacrifice ratio dominates decline in relative welfare weight of inflation





References

Frequency and optimal policy in Calvo (1983)

 Optimal response to an iid cost-push shock (ut)

 $\hat{\rho}_t = \delta \hat{\rho}_{t-1} + \delta u_t$ $x_t = \delta x_{t-1} + \delta \epsilon u_t,$

where $\hat{p}_t \equiv p_t - p_{-1}$ is the change in the price level and x_t is the output gap





References

Frequency and optimal policy in Calvo (1983)

- Optimal response to an iid cost-push shock (ut)
 - $\hat{\rho}_t = \delta \hat{\rho}_{t-1} + \delta u_t$ $x_t = \delta x_{t-1} + \delta \epsilon u_t,$

where $\hat{p}_t \equiv p_t - p_{-1}$ is the change in the price level and x_t is the output gap

• Parameter δ decreasing in frequency





References

Frequency and optimal policy in Calvo (1983)

- Optimal response to an iid cost-push shock (ut)
 - $\hat{p}_t = \delta \hat{p}_{t-1} + \delta u_t$ $x_t = \delta x_{t-1} + \delta \epsilon u_t,$

where $\hat{p}_t \equiv p_t - p_{-1}$ is the change in the price level and x_t is the output gap

• Parameter δ decreasing in frequency





Appendix

References

Response to a cost-push shock under a TR (Calvo vs. Golosov-Lucas)



Conclusion

Appendix

References

Welfare decomposition



Appendix

References

Response to a cost-push shock (large vs. small shock in Golosov-Lucas)



References

References I

- Adam, Klaus and Henning Weber (2019) "Optimal Trend Inflation," *American Economic Review*, Vol. 109, pp. 702–737.
- Alexandrov, Andrey (2020) "The Effects of Trend Inflation on Aggregate Dynamics and Monetary Stabilization," crc tr 224 discussion paper series, University of Bonn and University of Mannheim, Germany.
 Alvarez, Fernando and Pablo Andres Neumeyer (2020) "The Passthrough of Large Cost Shocks in an Inflationary Economy," in Gonzalo Castex, Jordi Galí, and Diego Saravia eds. *Changing Inflation Dynamics, Evolving Monetary Policy*, Vol. 27 of Central Banking, Analysis, and Economic Policies Book Series: Central Bank of Chile, Chap. 2, pp. 007–048.
- Auclert, Adrien, Rodolfo D Rigato, Matthew Rognlie, and Ludwig Straub (2022) "New Pricing Models, Same Old Phillips Curves?" Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research.

References II

- Benigno, Pierpaolo and Gauti Eggertsson (2023) "It's Baaack: The Surge in Inflation in the 2020s and the Return of the Non-Linear Phillips Curve," NBER Working Papers 31197, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.
- Blanco, Andrés (2021) "Optimal Inflation Target in an Economy with Menu Costs and a Zero Lower Bound," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, Vol. 13, pp. 108–141.
- Blanco, Andrés, Corina Boar, Callum Jones, and Virgiliu Midrigan (2024) "Nonlinear Inflation Dynamics in Menu Cost Economies," Technical report, unpublished manuscript.
- Burstein, Ariel and Christian Hellwig (2008) "Welfare Costs of Inflation in a Menu Cost Model," American Economic Review, Vol. 98, pp. 438–43.
- Calvo, Guillermo A. (1983) "Staggered Prices in a Utility-Maximizing Framework," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 12, pp. 383 – 398.

References III

Model

Caratelli, Daniele and Basil Halperin (2023) "Optimal monetary policy under menu costs," unpublished manuscript.

Cerrato, Andrea and Giulia Gitti (2023) "Inflation Since COVID: Demand or Supply," unpublished manuscript. Costain, James, Anton Nakov, and Borja Petit (2022) "Flattening of the Phillips Curve with State-Dependent

Prices and Wages," The Economic Journal, Vol. 132, pp. 546–581.

Dixit, Avinash K and Joseph E Stiglitz (1977) "Monopolistic Competition and Optimum Product Diversity," *American Economic Review*, Vol. 67, pp. 297–308.

Galí, Jordi (2008) Monetary Policy, Inflation, and the Business Cycle: An Introduction to the New Keynesian Framework: Princeton University Press.

Gertler, Mark and John Leahy (2008) "A Phillips Curve with an Ss Foundation," *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 116, pp. 533–572.

References IV

Golosov, Mikhail and Robert E. Lucas (2007) "Menu Costs and Phillips Curves," *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 115, pp. 171–199.

Karadi, Peter and Adam Reiff (2019) "Menu Costs, Aggregate Fluctuations, and Large Shocks," *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, Vol. 11, pp. 111–146.

Midrigan, Virgiliu (2011) "Menu Costs, Multiproduct Firms, and Aggregate Fluctuations," *Econometrica*, Vol. 79, pp. 1139–1180.

Montag, Hugh and Daniel Villar (2023) "Price-Setting During the Covid Era," FEDS Notes.

Nakamura, Emi and Jón Steinsson (2008) "Five Facts about Prices: A Reevaluation of Menu Cost Models,"

The Quarterly Journal of Economics, Vol. 123, pp. 1415–1464.

Smets, Frank and Rafael Wouters (2007) "Shocks and Frictions in US Business Cycles: A Bayesian DSGE Approach," *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 97, pp. 586–606.

Conclusion

Appendix

References

References V

Model

Taylor, John B (1993) "Discretion versus Policy Rules in Practice," in Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy, Vol. 39, pp. 195–214, Elsevier.

Woodford, Michael (2003) Interest and Prices: Foundations of a Theory of Monetary Policy: Princeton University Press.