

Comments on: “The macroeconomic implications of the Gen-AI economy”

by Pablo Guerron¹ Tomoaki Mikami¹ and Jaromir Nosal¹

¹Boston College

Maria A. Amado

BdE-ESCB ChaMP Network

Madrid, October 24th, 2024

The views expressed in this discussion are those of the author and do not represent those of the Bank of Spain or the Eurosystem.

Summary

■ Main goal:

- Study the impact of gen-AI on the economy through data collection analysis and distribution

■ How they do it:

- Multi-sector model that captures the role AI in (1) improving customer management and retention in all sectors and (2) overcoming frictions of customer base buildup
 - ▶ 3 sectors with different exposure to AI.
 - ▶ **Sector 1:** highly exposed, produces intermediate inputs and marketing services used by all sectors to build their customer base (demand).
 - ▶ **Search friction:** In order to sell their goods to households/other sectors, the producers in each sector have to match with a retailer.
 - ▶ In equilibrium, the likelihood of meeting a retailers depends on the relative stock of marketing capital in each sector.
 - ▶ Marketing services allows producers to increase their probability of selling their goods and increase their customer base.

Summary

- **The gen-AI shock:** (+) productivity shock in sector 1, ↓ cost of sector 1's services as int. input and cost of marketing services
 - Propagates downstream over the network and reduces matching cost between retailers and intermediate producers.
- **Findings: Transitory productivity shock, 1%**
 - Capital and labor reallocate away from gen-AI sector towards manufacture and services.
 - Productivity gains in AI sector generate significant spillovers to the rest of the economy → increase in agg. output in all sectors.
 - Search frictions (as opposed to I-O linkages) drive most of the spillover effects.
- **Permanent productivity shock of 10%**
 - Increase of 8% in GDP in a 10 year-horizon
 - No impact on aggregate labor: Permanent reallocation of labor from AI-intensive sector to other sectors
 - Permanent increase in aggregate capital (I-O linkages dominate)
 - Significant spillovers to the rest of the economy
 - Search frictions drive about half of the response, I-O explains the rest.

Comments

- **General Comment:** In sum, a great contribution that uses a simple stylized model to capture the impact of an **unexplored channel** through which AI-technologies can impact long-run growth
- It might have room to include some additional elements that make the mechanisms richer/complete without losing tractability.

Comment I

Paper's goal and long-run target

- The paper focuses on the broad macroeconomic effects of gen-IA through its impact on a **specific and concrete dimension of gen AI that improves sales and customer base management**.
- The paper makes it clear from the beginning that its contribution goes beyond the labor market effects, as in (Acemoglu and Restrepo (2018), Acemoglu et al. 2022 etc).
- And the nature of the labor reallocation in the model is not related to automation and substitution of tasks (Autor, Leavy and Murnane (2003), Acemoglu and Autor (2011))

Comment I

Paper's goal and long-run target

- Rationalizes Goldman Sachs' predictions of Gen-AI's 7% GDP impact over 10 years on the global economy.
 - GS projections take into account the impact of gen-AI on **different dimensions of the labor market**:
 - ▶ **Work displacement/automatization of tasks**: Gen AI could substitute up to one-fourth of current work in US and Europe
 - ▶ **Technology-driven creation of new tasks in the long run**: new occupations emerge directly from AI → higher aggregate income → higher demand for workers in other non-AI sectors.
 - *Overall, the model's predictions in terms of long-term labor, sectoral reallocation, higher labor productivity, are not necessary qualitatively at odds with those underlying the GS predictions: more microfoundations on the impact of the gen-AI shock in the labor market.*

Comment II

Effect on employment

- In response to the productivity shock, there is frictionless labor reallocation from sector 1 to sectors 2,3.
- Muted effect on total labor “short run” and “long run”. Only a slight decline in labor share.
- *How easy it is for a film editor/sound designer/advertiser (sector 1) to reallocate to the construction sector (sector 3) as a result of the marketing friction?*
- The model would benefit from introducing an element of labor market rigidity to capture a costly process of workers reallocation to new sectors (Bouakez et al, 2011, Cardi and Restout, 2015, Cardi et al. 2020)
 - IML: Workers can experience a utility loss when shifting hours worked from sector 1 to sectors 2 or 3 (?)

$$l_t = \left[\psi^{-1/\epsilon} (l_1)^{\frac{\epsilon+1}{\epsilon}} + (1 - \psi)^{-1/\epsilon} (l_{2,3})^{\frac{\epsilon+1}{\epsilon}} \right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon+1}}$$

Digression: Implications for monetary policy

- This is a model without nominal rigidities, so we cannot derive any conclusions about optimal monetary policy
- **Extension:** gen-AI impact in a Networks + NK (sector specific calvo pricing parameters ϕ_s) in the spirit of (Ghassibe 2021, Pasten, Schonle, and Weber, 2020)
 - In this setup, price stickiness propagates downstream over the network
 - ▶ *If sector 2 is very sticky and is a major supplier of sector 3, then sector 3 is effectively a very sticky sector.* $\frac{\partial \log p_3}{\partial \log w} = \phi_3 \rho_3$
 - Production networks may amplify nominal rigidities and increase monetary non-neutrality: $\frac{\partial \log rGDP}{\partial \log m} = 0$ if $\phi_i = \rho_i = 1$ for all i (fully flexible prices)

Digression: Implications on monetary policy

- AI is significantly reducing frictions in firms' price setting in different sectors: retail, e-commerce, travel
 - Amazon's AI-driven pricing system changes product prices 2.5 million times per day
 - Expedia, Airbnb, Lufthansa: AI-based models to automatically set prices based on location, seasonality, competitor pricing, booking behavior
 - Walmart, Zara use AI to optimize discount strategies, predict demand, adjust prices across locations, etc.

Digression: Implications on monetary policy

- Can AI impact monetary non-neutrality?
 - if the price in sector 1 becomes fully flexible: $\phi_1 = 1$? Does the economy become less “sticky”? does MP have less real effects?
 - Should we rethink monetary policy in a context in which pricing frictions can be significantly reduced in the long run?

Comments on: “The macroeconomic implications of the Gen-AI economy”

by Pablo Guerron¹ Tomoaki Mikami¹ and Jaromir Nosal¹

¹Boston College

Maria A. Amado

BdE-ESCB ChaMP Network

Madrid, October 24th, 2024

The views expressed in this discussion are those of the author and do not represent those of the Bank of Spain or the Eurosystem.